

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,322	10/14/2003		Mark Hirst	200309706-1	5015	
22879	7590	03/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT	PACKA	RD COMPAN	EICKHOLT, EUGENE H			
P O BOX 27:	2400, 340	4 E. HARMON	Y ROAD			
	-	OPERTY ADMI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLL	INS CO	20527 2400	2054			

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/685,322	HIRST ET AL.	(M)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eugene H. Eickholt	2854	i				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 24-43 and 47 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,6-12,17-19,21-23 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5, 13-16 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	l.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-14-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2854

Claims 7-8,10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

No antecedent basis is present for the print element or the imaging device print element in claim 10.

Claims 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention .Claims 45-46 refer to claims 35-36 as method claims while in fact they are apparatus claims.

Claims 1, 6, 9, 11-12, 18-19, 21, 22, 23 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maeda et al.

The information processor 10 includes a display unit 16. See fig. 1 and paragraph 28. This reads on the imaging device. The cpu 20 reads on the element which generates heat. See the abstract and paragraph 33. The cooling unit 40 includes a thermoelectric conversion module 60A and a fan 44 which reads on the thermoelectric generator and the cooling device. See paragraph number 37. Paragraph 9, teaches " a current output from the first thermoelectric conversion part is input to the second thermoelectric conversion part to cool the second heat receiving part." See also paragraph 78 in this regard. Paragraph 92 teaches the cooling units 40, 41 perform both power generation and cooling.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,322

Art Unit: 2854

Regarding claims 6 and 18 see paragraph 57 teaching the Peltier effect and

paragraph 58 teaching the Seebeck effect. As current has voltage, claims 9 and 21, are

anticipated.

Fan 44 reads on the exhaust fan of claim 11 and 23. See paragraph 36. The

cooling control program is configured to reduce temperature as recited in claim 22.

Paragraph 111 reads on claim 44.

Regarding claim 10, figure 2 shows the thermoelectric conversion module 60A

thermally coupled to the CPU 20 (heat source) and "bonded" on its other side to heat

sink 42. See paragraph 35.

Claims 2-5, 13-16 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 24-43 and 47 stand allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. If applicant intends to swear in back of Maeda et al, then such

affidavit is required with the next response or will be refused consideratio as being

untimely.

A shortened statutory, period of 3 months is set to respond.

Eickholt/ds

03/22/05

EUGENEH. EICKHOLT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning the specifics of this communication should be directed to Examiner Eickholt, who can be reached Tuesday through Thursday. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the TC2800 receptionist.

Contact numbers: Exr. Eugene H. Eickholt SPE Andrew Hirshfeld TC 2800 Fax

571-2722160 571-2722168 703-8729306

> EUGENE H. EICKHOLT PRIMARY EXAMINER